arXiv:1605.02895v1 [math.FA] 10 May 2016

Generalized Kato-Riesz decomposition

Snežana Č. Živković-Zlatanović, Miloš D. Cvetković*

Abstract

We shall say that a bounded linear operator T acting on a Banach space X admits a generalized Kato-Riesz decomposition if there exists a pair of T-invariant closed subspaces (M, N) such that $X = M \oplus N$, the reduction T_M is Kato and T_N is Riesz. In this paper we define and investigate the generalized Kato-Riesz spectrum of an operator. For T is said to be generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible if there exists a bounded linear operator S acting on X such that $TS = ST$, $STS = S$, $TST - T$ is Riesz. We investigate generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible operators and also, characterize bounded linear operators which can be expressed as a direct sum of a Riesz operator and a bounded below (resp. surjective, upper (lower) semi-Fredholm, Fredholm, upper (lower) semi-Weyl, Weyl) operator. In particular we characterize the single-valued extension property at a point $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ in the case that $\lambda_0 - T$ admits a generalized Kato-Riesz decomposition.

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: 47A53, 47A10.

Key words and phrases: Banach space; Kato operators; Riesz operators; single valued extension property; approximate point (surjective) spectrum; essential spectra.

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{N}$ ($\mathbb{N}_0$) denote the set of all positive (non-negative) integers, and let $\mathbb{C}$ denote the set of all complex numbers. If $K \subset \mathbb{C}$, then ∂K is the boundary of K, $\operatorname{acc} K$ is the set of accumulation points of K, $\operatorname{iso} K = K \setminus \operatorname{acc} K$ and $\operatorname{int} K$ is the set of interior points of K. For $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, the open disc, centered at λ_0 with radius ϵ in $\mathbb{C}$, is denoted by $D(\lambda_0, \epsilon)$.

Let $L(X)$ be the Banach algebra of bounded linear operators acting on an infinite dimensional complex Banach space X. The group of all invertible operators is denoted by $L(X)^{-1}$. For $T \in L(X)$, let $\sigma(T)$ denote the spectrum of T, $r(T)$ the spectral radius of T and $\rho(T) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(T)$ the resolvent set of T. Let $N(T)$ denote the null-space and $R(T)$ the range of T. Set $\alpha(T) = \dim N(T)$ and $\beta(T) = \operatorname{codim} R(T)$. An operator $T \in L(X)$

*The authors are supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development, Republic of Serbia, grant no. 174007.

is *bounded below* if T is injective and has closed range. Let $\mathcal{M}(X)$ denote the set of all bounded below operators, and let $\mathcal{Q}(X)$ denote the set of all surjective operators. The approximate point spectrum of $T \in L(X)$ is defined by $\sigma_{ap}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \text{ is not bounded below}\}$ and the surjective spectrum is defined by $\sigma_{su}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \text{ is not surjective}\}$. We set $\rho_{ap}(T) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_{ap}(T)$ and $\rho_{su}(T) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_{su}(T)$. An operator $T \in L(X)$ is *Kato* if $R(T)$ is closed and $N(T) \subset R(T^n)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. An operator $T \in L(X)$ is *nilpotent* when $T^n = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, while T is *quasinilpotent* if $\|T^n\|^{1/n} \to 0$, that is $T - \lambda \in L(X)^{-1}$ for all complex $\lambda \neq 0$.

Sets of *upper and lower semi-Fredholm* operators, respectively, are defined as $\Phi_+(X) = \{T \in L(X) : \alpha(T) < \infty \text{ and } R(T) \text{ is closed}\}$, and $\Phi_-(X) = \{T \in L(X) : \beta(T) < \infty\}$. For upper and lower semi-Fredholm operators the index is defined by $\text{ind}(T) = \alpha(T) - \beta(T)$. If $T \in \Phi_+(X) \setminus \Phi_-(X)$, then $\text{ind}(T) = -\infty$, and if $T \in \Phi_-(X) \setminus \Phi_+(X)$, then $\text{ind}(T) = +\infty$. The set of Fredholm operators is defined as $\Phi(X) = \Phi_+(X) \cap \Phi_-(X)$. The sets of upper semi-Weyl, lower semi-Weyl and Weyl operators are defined as $\mathcal{W}_+(X) = \{T \in \Phi_+(X) : \text{ind}(T) \leq 0\}$, $\mathcal{W}_-(X) = \{T \in \Phi_-(X) : \text{ind}(T) \geq 0\}$ and $\mathcal{W}(X) = \{T \in \Phi(X) : \text{ind}(T) = 0\}$, respectively. Denote by $\text{asc}(A)$ ($\text{dsc}(A)$) the ascent (the descent) of $A \in B(X)$, i.e. the smallest $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $N(A^n) = N(A^{n+1})$ ($R(A^n) = R(A^{n+1})$). If such n does not exist, then $\text{asc}(A) = \infty$ ($\text{dsc}(A) = \infty$). An operator $A \in B(X)$ is *Browder* if it is Fredholm and has finite ascent and finite descent. An operator $A \in B(X)$ is called *upper semi-Browder* if it is upper semi-Fredholm of finite ascent, and *lower semi-Browder* if it is lower semi-Fredholm of finite descent. Let $\mathcal{B}(X)$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}_+(X)$, $\mathcal{B}_-(X)$) denote the set of all Browder (resp. upper semi-Browder, lower semi-Browder) operators. Corresponding to these classes we have the following spectra and resolvent sets: the upper Fredholm spectrum $\sigma_{\Phi_+}(T)$ of T is defined by $\sigma_{\Phi_+}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \notin \Phi_+(X)\}$ and the upper Fredholm resolvent set is $\rho_{\Phi_+}(T) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_{\Phi_+}(T)$, and similarly for the lower Fredholm (resp. Fredholm, upper (lower) Weyl, Weyl, upper (lower) Browder, Browder) spectrum and resolvent set.

An operator $T \in L(X)$ is Riesz, $T \in R(X)$, if $T - \lambda \in \Phi(X)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. We shall say that an operator $T \in B(X)$ is *polynomially Riesz* and write $T \in \text{Poly}^{-1}R(X)$ if there exists a nonzero complex polynomial $p(z)$ such that $p(T) \in R(X)$. Recall that if $T \in \text{Poly}^{-1}R(X)$, then there exists a unique polynomial π_T of minimal degree with leading coefficient 1 such that $\pi_T(T) \in R(X)$ which we call the minimal polynomial of T (see [14]).

If M is a subspace of X such that $T(M) \subset M$, $T \in L(X)$, it is said that M is *T-invariant*. We define $T_M : M \to M$ as $T_M x = Tx$, $x \in M$. If M and N are two closed T-invariant subspaces of X such that $X = M \oplus N$, we say that T is *completely reduced* by the pair (M, N) and it is denoted by $(M, N) \in Red(T)$. In this case we write $T = T_M \oplus T_N$ and say that T is a *direct sum* of T_M and T_N.

An operator $T \in L(X)$ is said to admit a *generalized Kato decomposition*, abbreviated as GKD, if there exists a pair $(M, N) \in Red(T)$ such that T_M is Kato and T_N is quasinilpotent. A relevant case is obtained if we assume that T_N is nilpotent. In this case T is said to be of *Kato type*. An operator is said to be

essentially Kato if it admits a GKD (M, N) such that N is finite-dimensional. If T is essentially Kato then T_N is nilpotent, since every quasinilpotent operator on a finite dimensional space is nilpotent. The semi-Fredholm operators belong to the class of essentially Kato operators [12, Theorem 16.21]. For $T \in L(X)$, *the Kato spectrum*, *the essentially Kato spectrum*, *the Kato type spectrum* and *the generalized Kato spectrum* is defined by

$\sigma_K(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda$ is not Kato$\}$,
$\sigma_{eK}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda$ is not essentially Kato$\}$,
$\sigma_{Kt}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda$ is not of Kato type$\}$,
$\sigma_{gK}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda$ does not admit a GKD$\}$, respectively.

Definition 1.1. An operator $T \in L(X)$ is said to admit a *Kato-Riesz decomposition*, abbreviated as GKRD, if there exists a pair $(M, N) \in Red(T)$ such that T_M is Kato and T_N is Riesz.

For $T \in L(X)$ *the generalized Kato-Riesz spectrum* is defined by

$$\sigma_{gKR}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \text{ does not admit a GKRD}\}.$$

Clearly, $\sigma_{gKR}(T) \subset \sigma_{gK}(T) \subset \sigma_{Kt}(T) \subset \sigma_{eK}(T) \subset \sigma_K(T) \subset \sigma_{ap}(T) \cap \sigma_{su}(T)$.

An operator $T \in L(X)$ is said to be Drazin invertible if there exists $S \in L(X)$ such that $TS = ST$, $STS = S$ and $TST - T$ is nilpotent. This concept has been generalized by Koliha [9] by replacing the third condition in this definition with the condition that $TST - T$ is quasinilpotent. Recall that T is generalized Drazin invertible if and only if $0 \notin \operatorname{acc}\sigma(T)$, and this is also equivalent to the fact that $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$ where T_1 is invertible and T_2 is quasinilpotent. If we replace the third condition in the previous definitions by condition that $TST - T$ is Riesz, we get the concept of generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible operators. The generalized Drazin-Riesz spectrum of $T \in L(X)$ is defined by $\sigma_{gDR}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda$ is not generalized Drazin $-$ Riesz invertible$\}$. In the second section of this paper we prove that an operator $T \in L(X)$ is generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible if and only if T admits a GKRD and 0 is not an interior point of $\sigma(T)$ and this is also equivalent to the fact that $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$ where T_1 is invertible and T_2 is Riesz. Also we characterize operators which are a direct sum of a Riesz operator and a bounded below (resp. surjective, upper (lower) semi-Fredholm, upper (lower) semi-Weyl, upper (lower)semi-Browder) operator. These operators generalize the class of generalized Drazin invertible operators and also the class of generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible operators, and hence we shall call them *generalized Drazin-Riesz bounded below (resp. generalized Drazin-Riesz surjective, generalized Drazin-Riesz upper (lower) semi-Fredholm, generalized Drazin-Riesz Fredholm, etc) operators*, and we shall use the following notations:

$\mathbf{R_1} = \mathcal{M}(X)$	$\mathbf{R_2} = \mathcal{Q}(X)$	$\mathbf{R_3} = L(X)^{-1}$
$\mathbf{R_4} = \mathcal{B}_+(X)$	$\mathbf{R_5} = \mathcal{B}_-(X)$	$\mathbf{R_6} = \mathcal{B}(X)$
$\mathbf{R_7} = \Phi_+(X)$	$\mathbf{R_8} = \Phi_-(X)$	$\mathbf{R_9} = \Phi(X)$
$\mathbf{R_{10}} = \mathcal{W}_+(X)$	$\mathbf{R_{11}} = \mathcal{W}_-(X)$	$\mathbf{R_{12}} = \mathcal{W}(X)$

$$\mathbf{gDRR}_i(X) = \{T \in L(X) : T = T_1 \oplus T_2,\ T_1 \in \mathbf{R}_i,\ T_2 \text{ is Riesz}\},\ 1 \leq i \leq 12.$$

An operator $T \in L(X)$ is said to have the single-valued extension property at $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ (SVEP at λ_0 for breviety) if for every open disc $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_0}$ centerd at λ_0 the only analitic function $f : \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_0} \to X$ satisfying $(T - \lambda)f(\lambda) = 0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_0}$ is the function $f \equiv 0$. An operator $T \in L(X)$ is said to have the SVEP if T has the SVEP at every point $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. We denote by $\mathcal{S}(T)$ the open set of $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ where T fails to have SVEP at λ.

Evidently, $T \in L(X)$ has the SVEP at every point of the resolvent set $\rho(T)$. Moreover, from the identity theorem for analytic function and $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T')$, where $T' \in L(X')$ is the adjoint operator of T, it follows that T and T' have the SVEP at every point of the boundary $\partial\sigma(T)$ of the spectrum. In particular, T and T' have the SVEP at every isolated point of the spectrum. Hence, there is implication

$$\sigma(T) \text{ does not cluster at } \lambda_0 \Longrightarrow T \text{ and } T' \text{ have the SVEP at } \lambda_0. \tag{1.1}$$

Moreover, from the indentity theorem for analytic functions we have (see [2], p. 182):

$$\sigma_{ap}(T) \text{ does not cluster at } \lambda_0 \Longrightarrow T \text{ has the SVEP at } \lambda_0 \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$\sigma_{su}(T) \text{ does not cluster at } \lambda_0 \Longrightarrow T' \text{ has the SVEP at } \lambda_0. \tag{1.3}$$

P. Aiena and E. Rosas proved that if $\lambda_0 - T$ is of Kato type, then the implications (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) can be reversed [2]. Q. Jiang and H. Zhong [7] showed that if $\lambda_0 - T$ admits a GKD, then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) T (T') has the SVEP at λ_0;

(ii) $\sigma_{ap}(T)$ ($\sigma_{su}(T)$) does not cluster at λ_0;

(iii) λ_0 is not an interior point of $\sigma_{ap}(T)$ ($\sigma_{su}(T)$),

that is, the implications (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) can be also reversed in the case that $\lambda_0 - T$ admits a GKD. We extend this result to the case of operators which admit a GKRD. Precisely, we show that if $\lambda_0 - T$ admits a GKRD, then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) T (T') has the SVEP at λ_0;

(ii) λ_0 is not an interior point of $\sigma_{ap}(T)$ ($\sigma_{su}(T)$);

(iii) $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T)$ ($\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_-}(T)$) does not cluster at λ_0;

(iv) λ_0 is not an interior point of $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T)$ ($\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_-}(T)$).

A Riesz operator T with the infinite spectrum is an example of an operator which admits GKRD and has the SVEP, but the spectra $\sigma_{ap}(T) = \sigma_{su}(T) = \sigma(T)$ cluster at 0. So, if $\lambda_0 - T$ admits a GKRD, then the statement that T (T') has the SVEP at λ_0 is not in general equivalent to the statement that $\sigma_{ap}(T)$ ($\sigma_{su}(T)$) does not cluster at λ_0.

Also, we extend the previous results to the cases of essential spectra. Namely, we prove that if $\lambda_0 - T$ admits a GKRD, then λ_0 is not an interior point of $\sigma_{\mathbf{R}}(T)$

if and only if $\sigma_{\mathbf{R}}(T)$ does not cluster at λ_0 where $\mathbf{R}$ is one of $\Phi_+, \Phi_-, \Phi, \mathcal{W}_+, \mathcal{W}_-, \mathcal{W}$. In that way we extend results obtained in [4].

The third section is devoted to investigation of corresponding spectra. We prove that the generalized Kato-Riesz spectrum is compact and that it differs from the essential Kato spectrum on at most countably many points. As one application we get for T which is a unilateral weighted right shift operator on $\ell_p(\mathbb{N})$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, with weight (ω_n), and $c(T) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \inf(\omega_1 \cdot \cdots \cdot \omega_n)^{1/n} = 0$, that $\sigma_{gKR}(T) = \sigma(T) = \overline{D(0, r(T))}$. Also we obtain that the connected hulls of the generalized Drazin-Riesz spectrum and the generalized Kato-Riesz spectrum ot $T \in L(X)$ are equal and hence they are empty in the same time. Moreover, we prove that it happens if and only if T is a polynomially Riesz operator. We prove that $\partial\sigma_{\mathbf{R}}(T) \cap \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathbf{R}}(T) \subset \sigma_{gKR}(T)$ where $\mathbf{R}$ is one of $\Phi_+, \Phi_-, \Phi, \mathcal{W}_+, \mathcal{W}_-, \mathcal{W}$, $\mathcal{B}_+$, $\mathcal{B}_-$, $\mathcal{B}$, and also $\partial\sigma_{ap}(T) \cap \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T) \subset \sigma_{gKR}(T)$, $\partial\sigma_{su}(T) \cap \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_-}(T) \subset \sigma_{gKR}(T)$ and $\partial\sigma(T) \cap \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(T) \subset \sigma_{gKR}(T)$. As consequence we get that if $\sigma_{ap}(T) = \partial\sigma(T)$ ($\sigma_{su}(T) = \partial\sigma(T)$) and every $\lambda \in \partial\sigma(T)$ is not isolated in $\sigma(T)$, then $\sigma_{gKR}(T) = \sigma_{ap}(T)$ ($\sigma_{gKR}(T) = \sigma_{su}(T)$). These results are applied to some concrete cases, as the forward and backward bilateral shifts on $c_0(\mathbb{Z}), \ell_p(\mathbb{Z})$, the forward and backward unilateral shifts on $c_0(\mathbb{N}), c(\mathbb{N}), \ell_\infty(\mathbb{N})$ or $\ell_p(\mathbb{N})$, $p \geq 1$, as well as arbitrary non-invertible isometry, and also Cesáro operator.

2 Generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible and generalized Drazin-Riesz semi-Fredholm operators

We start with the following several assertions which will be useful in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1. *([4, Lemma 2.2]) Let $T \in L(X)$ and $(M, N) \in Red(T)$. The following statements hold:*
(i) *$T \in \mathbf{R}_i$ if and only if $T_M \in \mathbf{R}_i$ and $T_N \in \mathbf{R}_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 9$, and in that case* $\operatorname{ind}(T) = \operatorname{ind}(T_M) + \operatorname{ind}(T_N)$*;*
(ii) *If $T_M \in \mathbf{R}_i$ and $T_N \in \mathbf{R}_i$, then $T \in \mathbf{R}_i$, $10 \leq i \leq 12$.*
(iii) *If $T \in \mathbf{R}_i$ and T_N is Weyl, then $T_M \in \mathbf{R}_i$, $10 \leq i \leq 12$.*

Lemma 2.2. *([14, Lemma 2.11]) Let $T \in L(X)$ and let $(M, N) \in Red(T)$. Then T is Riesz if and only if T_M and T_N are Riesz.*

The following proposition is fundamental for our purpose.

Proposition 2.1. ([4, Proposition 3.1]) Let $T \in L(X)$. Then the following implications hold:
(i) If T is Kato and 0 is an accumulation point of $\rho_{ap}(T)$ (resp. $\rho_{su}(T)$, $\rho(T)$), then T is bounded below (resp. surjective, invertible);
(ii) If T is Kato and 0 is an accumulation point of $\rho_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T)$ (resp. $\rho_{\mathcal{B}_-}(T)$, $\rho_{\mathcal{B}}(T)$), then T is bounded below (resp. surjective, invertible);
(iii) If T is Kato and 0 is an accumulation point of $\rho_{\Phi_+}(T)$ (resp. $\rho_{\Phi_-}(T)$, $\rho_{\Phi}(T)$), then T is upper semi-Fredholm (resp. lower semi-Fredholm, Fredholm);

(iv) If T is Kato and 0 is an accumulation point of $\rho_{\mathcal{W}_+}(T)$ (resp. $\rho_{\mathcal{W}_-}(T)$, $\rho_{\mathcal{W}}(T)$), then T is upper semi-Weyl (resp. lower semi-Weyl, Weyl).

For a subspace M of X its annihilator $M^\perp$ is defined by

$$M^\perp = \{f \in X' : f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in M\}.$$

Proposition 2.2. Let $T \in L(X)$. If T admits a GKRD(M, N), then $(N^\perp, M^\perp)$ is a GKRD for T'.

Proof. Suppose that T admits a GKRD(M, N). Then $(M, N) \in Red(T)$, T_M is Kato, T_N is Riesz and $(N^\perp, M^\perp) \in Red(T')$. Let P_M be the projection of X onto M along N. Then P'_M is also a projector, $N(P'_M) = R(P_M)^\perp = M^\perp$ and $R(P'_M) = N(P_M)^\perp = N^\perp$ since $R(P_M)$ is closed. Consequently, $X' = R(P'_M) \oplus N(P'_M) = N^\perp \oplus M^\perp$. For $P_N = I - P_M$ we have that $(M, N) \in Red(TP_N)$, $TP_N = P_N T$ and since $TP_N = (TP_N)_M \oplus (TP_N)_N = 0 \oplus T_N$, according to Lemma 2.2, it follows that TP_N is Riesz. Consequently, $T'P'_N = P'_N T'$ is Riesz, $(N^\perp, M^\perp) \in Red(T'P'_N)$ and since $R(P'_N) = N(P_N)^\perp = M^\perp$, we conclude that $(T'P'_N)_{M^\perp} = {T'}_{M^\perp}$ is Riesz according to Lemma 2.2. From the proof of Theorem 1.43 in [1] it follows that ${T'}_{N^\perp}$ is Kato. Therefore, $(N^\perp, M^\perp)$ is a GKRD for T'. □

Definition 2.1. An operator $T \in L(X)$ is *generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible* if there exists $S \in L(X)$ such that

$$TS = ST, \quad STS = S, \quad TST - T \text{ is Riesz.}$$

Clearly, every Riesz operator is generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible. The set of generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible operators is denoted by $L(X)^{DR}$. Harte introduced the concept of a quasipolar element in a Banach algebra [6, Definition 7.5.2]: an element a of a Banach algebra A is *quasipolar* if there is an idempotent $q \in A$ commuting with a such that $a(1-q)$ is quasinilpotent and $q \in (Aa) \cap (aA)$. Koliha [9] proved that an element is quasipolar if and only if it is generalized Drazin invertible. We shall say that $T \in L(X)$ is *Riesz-quasipolar* if there exists a bounded projection Q satisfying

$$TQ = QT, \ T(I - Q) \text{ is Riesz}, \ Q \in (L(X)T) \cap (TL(X)). \tag{2.1}$$

In the following theorem we show that an operator $T \in L(X)$ is Riesz-quasipolar if and only if T is generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible and moreover, it is also equivalent to the fact that T admits a GKRD and T and T' have the SVEP at 0.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $T \in L(X)$. The following conditions are equivalent:*
(i) *There exists $(M, N) \in Red(T)$ such that T_M is invertible and T_N is Riesz;*
(ii) *T admits a GKRD and $0 \notin \operatorname{int} \sigma(T)$.*
(iii) *T admits a GKRD and T and T' have the SVEP at 0;*
(iv) *T is generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible;*

(v) *T is Riesz-quasipolar;*
(vi) *There exists a bounded projection P on X which commutes with T such that $T+P$ is Browder and TP is Riesz;*
(vii) *There exists $(M,N) \in Red(T)$ such that T_M is Browder and T_N is Riesz;*
(viii) *T admits a GKRD and $0 \notin \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(T)$;*
(ix) *T admits a GKRD and $0 \notin \operatorname{int}\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(T)$.*

Proof. (i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii): Suppose that there exists $(M,N) \in Red(T)$ such that T_M is invertible and T_N is Riesz. Then T_M is Kato and hence, T admits a GKRD (M,N). Since T_M is invertible, $0 \in \rho_{ap}(T_M)$ and there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $D(0,\epsilon) \subset \rho(T_M)$. As T_N is Riesz, it follows that $0 \in \operatorname{acc}\rho(T_N)$. Consequently, $0 \in \operatorname{acc}(\rho(T_M) \cap \rho(T_N)) = \operatorname{acc}\rho(T)$ and so, $0 \notin \operatorname{int}\sigma(T)$.

(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i): Suppose that T admits a GKRD and $0 \notin \operatorname{int}\sigma(T)$. Then there exists $(M,N) \in Red(T)$ such that T_M is Kato and T_N is Riesz and $0 \in \operatorname{acc}\rho(T)$. According to Lema 2.1(i), it follows that $0 \in \operatorname{acc}\rho(T_M)$. From Proposition 2.1 (i) it follows that T_M is invertible.

(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii): Follows from the fact that $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T')$ and the identity theorem for analytic functions.

(iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii): Suppose that T admits a GKRD and T and T' have the SVEP at 0. Then there exists $(M,N) \in Red(T)$ such that T_M is Kato and T_N is Riesz. Since the SVEP at 0 of T is inherited by the reductions on every closed invariant subspaces, we get that T_M has the SVEP at 0. According to [1, Theorem 2.49] it follows that T_M is bounded below and so there exists $\epsilon_1 > 0$ for which $T_M - \lambda$ is bounded below for every $|\lambda| < \epsilon_1$, that is $D(0,\epsilon_1) \subset \rho_{ap}(T_M)$. Since T_N is Riesz, then $D(0,\epsilon_1) \setminus C_1 \subset \rho_{ap}(T_N)$ where C_1 is most countable set of Riesz points of T_N. Consequently, $D(0,\epsilon_1) \setminus C_1 \subset \rho_{ap}(T_M) \cap \rho_{ap}(T_N) = \rho_{ap}(T)$. From Proposition 2.2 it follows that T' admits the GKRD $(N^{\perp}, M^{\perp})$ and since T' has the SVEP at 0, according to already proved we conclude that there exists $\epsilon_2 > 0$ such that $D(0,\epsilon_2) \setminus C_2 \subset \rho_{ap}(T') = \rho_{su}(T)$ where C_2 is most countable set of Riesz points of $T'_{M^{\perp}}$. Let $\epsilon = \min\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2\}$ and $C = C_1 \cup C_2$. Than C is most countable and $D(0,\epsilon) \setminus C \subset \rho_{ap}(T) \cap \rho_{su}(T) = \rho(T)$. Consequently, $0 \notin \operatorname{int}\sigma(T)$.

(i)$\Longrightarrow$(iv): Suppose that there exists $(M,N) \in Red(T)$ such that T_M is invertible and T_N is Riesz. Let $S = T_M^{-1} \oplus 0$, i.e.

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} T_M^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} M \\ N \end{bmatrix} \to \begin{bmatrix} M \\ N \end{bmatrix}$$

and let $x \in X$. Then $x = m+n$, where $m \in M$ and $n \in N$, and $TSx = TS(m+n) = TT_M^{-1}m = m$ and $STx = ST(m+n) = S(T_Mm + T_Nn) = T_M^{-1}T_Mm = m$. Thus $TS = ST$. Further, $STSx = STS(m+n) = Sm = S(m+n) = Sx$ and

hence, $STS = S$. From

$$\begin{aligned} T - T^2S &= \begin{bmatrix} T_M & 0 \\ 0 & T_N \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} T_M^2 & 0 \\ 0 & T_N^2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} T_M^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} T_M & 0 \\ 0 & T_N \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} T_M & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & T_N \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

according to Lemma 2.2, it follows that $T - T^2S$ is Riesz.

(iv)$\Longrightarrow$(v): Suppose that T is generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible. Then there exists $S \in L(X)$ such that $ST = TS$, $STS = S$ and $T - T^2S$ is Riesz. Let $Q = TS$. Then Q is a bounded projection which commutes with T, $Q = TS = ST \in (L(X)T) \cap (TL(X))$ and $T(I - Q) = T(I - TS) = T - T^2S$ is Riesz.

(v)$\Longrightarrow$(vi): Suppose that T is Riesz-quasipolar. Then there exists a bounded projection Q satisfying (2.1). Let $P = I - Q$. Then $TP = PT$ and TP is Riesz. From $I - P = Q \in (L(X)T) \cap (TL(X))$ it follows that there exist $U, V \in L(X)$ such that $I - P = UT = TV$. Then

$$(T + P)(UTV + P) = (UTV + P)(T + P) = I + TP. \tag{2.2}$$

Since TP is Riesz, by [1, Theorem 3.111] it follows that $I + TP$ is Browder. Therefore from (2.2), according to [6, Theorem 7.9.2], we obtain that $T + P$ is Browder.

(vi) $\Longrightarrow$ (vii) : Suppose that there exists a projection $P \in L(X)$ that commutes with T such that $T + P$ is Browder and TP is Riesz. For $M = N(P)$ and $N = R(P)$ we have that $(M, N) \in Red(T)$ and $T_N = (TP)_N$ is Riesz by Lemma 2.2. From Lemma 2.1(i) it follows that $T_M = (T + P)_M$ is Browder.

(vii) $\Longrightarrow$ (viii): Let $(M, N) \in Red(T)$ and $T = T_M \oplus T_N$, where T_M is Browder and T_N is Riesz. Then $0 \in \rho_{\mathcal{B}}(T_M)$ and there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $D(0, \epsilon) \subset \rho_{\mathcal{B}}(T_M)$. Since T_N is Riesz, $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(T_N) \subset \{0\}$ by [1, Theorem 3.111] and hence, $D(0, \epsilon) \setminus \{0\} \subset \rho_{\mathcal{B}}(T_M) \cap \rho_{\mathcal{B}}(T_N)$. According to Lemma 2.1(i) $\rho_{\mathcal{B}}(T_M) \cap \rho_{\mathcal{B}}(T_N) = \rho_{\mathcal{B}}(T)$ and so, $D(0, \epsilon) \setminus \{0\} \subset \rho_{\mathcal{B}}(T)$. Therefore, $0 \notin \operatorname{acc} \sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(T)$.

From [12, Theorem 16.21] it follows that there exist two closed T-invariant subspaces M_1 and M_2 such that $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$, M_2 is finite dimensional, T_{M_1} is Kato and T_{M_2} is nilpotent. Hence $X = M_1 \oplus (M_2 \oplus N)$ and $M_2 \oplus N$ is closed. From Lemma 2.2 it follows that $T_{M_2 \oplus N} = T_{M_2} \oplus T_N$ is Riesz and thus T admits the GKRD $(M_1, M_2 \oplus N)$.

(viii) $\Longrightarrow$ (ix): Obvious.

(ix) $\Longrightarrow$ (i): Suppose that T admits a GKRD and $0 \notin \operatorname{int} \sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(T)$. Then there exists $(M, N) \in Red(T)$ such that T_M is Kato and T_N is Riesz. Since $0 \in \operatorname{acc} \rho_{\mathcal{B}}(T)$, from Lema 2.1(i) we get that $0 \in \operatorname{acc} \rho_{\mathcal{B}}(T_M)$, which according to Proposition 2.1 (ii) implies that T_M is invertible. $\square$

Evidently, every Riesz operator and every Browder operator is generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible operator.

Using Proposition 2.1 ((i), (ii)), similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.3, the following theorems can be proved.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $T \in L(X)$. The following conditions are equivalent:*
(i) *There exists $(M,N) \in Red(T)$ such that T_M is bounded below and T_N is Riesz, that is $T \in \mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{M}(X)$;*
(ii) *T admits a GKRD and $0 \notin \operatorname{int}\sigma_{ap}(T)$;*
(iii) *T admits a GKRD and T has the SVEP at 0;*
(iv) *There exists $(M,N) \in Red(T)$ such that T_M is upper semi-Browder and T_N is Riesz, that is $T \in \mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{B}_+(X)$;*
(v) *T admits a GKRD and $0 \notin \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T)$;*
(vi) *T admits a GKRD and $0 \notin \operatorname{int}\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T)$;*
(vii) *There exists a bounded projection P on X which commutes with T such that $T+P$ is uper semi-Browder and TP is Riesz.*

Theorem 2.5. *Let $T \in L(X)$. The following conditions are equivalent:*
(i) *There exists $(M,N) \in Red(T)$ such that T_M is surjective and T_N is Riesz, that is $T \in \mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{Q}(X)$;*
(ii) *T admits a GKRD and $0 \notin \operatorname{int}\sigma_{su}(T)$;*
(iii) *T admits a GKRD and T' has the SVEP at 0;*
(iv) *There exists $(M,N) \in Red(T)$ such that T_M is lower semi-Browder and T_N is Riesz, that is $T \in \mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{B}_-(X)$;*
(v) *T admits a GKRD and $0 \notin \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_-}(T)$;*
(vi) *T admits a GKRD and $0 \notin \operatorname{int}\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_-}(T)$;*
(vii) *There exists a bounded projection P on X which commutes with T such that $T+P$ is lower semi-Browder and TP is Riesz.*

The condition that $0 \notin \operatorname{int}\sigma_{ap}(T)$ ($0 \notin \operatorname{int}\sigma_{su}(T)$) in the statement (ii) in Theorem 2.4 (Theorem 2.5) can not be replaced with the stronger condition that $0 \notin \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{ap}(T)$ ($0 \notin \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{su}(T)$). The example which shows that is a Riesz operator with infinite spectrum. Namely, if $T \in L(X)$ is Riesz with infinite spectrum, then obviously T is generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible, but $0 \in \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{ap}(T) = \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{su}(T)$ and $0 \notin \operatorname{int}\sigma_{ap}(T) = \operatorname{int}\sigma_{su}(T)$.

Corollary 2.1. *Let $T \in L(X)$. If $\lambda_0 - T$ admits a GKRD, then the following statements are equivalent:*
(i) T (T') has the SVEP at λ_0;
(ii) λ_0 is not an interior point of $\sigma_{ap}(T)$ ($\sigma_{su}(T)$);
(iii) $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T)$ ($\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_-}(T)$) does not cluster at λ_0;
(iv) λ_0 is not an interior point of $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T)$ ($\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_-}(T)$).

Proof. Follows from the equivalences (ii)$\Longleftrightarrow$(iii)$\Longleftrightarrow$(v)$\Longleftrightarrow$(vi) in Theorem 2.4 (Theorem 2.5). □

Theorem 2.6. *Let $T \in L(X)$ and $7 \leq i \leq 12$. The following conditions are equivalent:*
(i) *There exists $(M,N) \in Red(T)$ such that $T_M \in \mathbf{R}_i$ and T_N is Riesz, that is $T \in \mathbf{gDRR_i}(X)$;*
(ii) *T admits a GKRD and $0 \notin \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T)$;*
(iii) *T admits a GKRD and $0 \notin \operatorname{int}\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T)$;*
(iv) *There exists a bounded projection P on X which commutes with T such that $T+P \in \mathbf{R}_i$ and TP is Riesz.*

Proof. (i)$\Longrightarrow$(ii) Suppose that there exists $(M,N) \in Red(T)$ such that $T_M \in \mathbf{R}_i$ and T_N is Riesz. As in the proof of the implication (vii)$\Longrightarrow$(viii) in Theorem 2.3 it follows that T admits a GKRD.

Since $\mathbf{R}_i$ is open, from $T_M \in \mathbf{R}_i$ it follows that there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $D(0,\epsilon) \subset \rho_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T_M)$. Since T_N is Riesz, according to [1, Theorem 3.111], it follows that $\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T_N) \subset \{0\}$ and hence, $D(0,\epsilon)\backslash\{0\} \subset \rho_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T_M) \cap \rho_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T_N)$. According to Lemma 2.1(i),(ii), $\rho_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T_M) \cap \rho_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T_N) \subset \rho_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T)$ and so, $D(0,\epsilon) \setminus \{0\} \subset \rho_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T)$. Therefore, $0 \notin \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T)$.

(ii)$\Longrightarrow$(iii) Obvious.

(iii)$\Longrightarrow$(i) Suppose that T admits a GKRD and $0 \notin \operatorname{int}\sigma_{\Phi_+}(T)$. Then there exists $(M,N) \in Red(T)$ such that T_M is Kato and T_N is Riesz and $0 \in \operatorname{acc}\rho_{\Phi_+}(T)$. According to Lema 2.1(i), it follows that $0 \in \operatorname{acc}\rho_{\Phi_+}(T_M)$. From Proposition 2.1 (iii) it follows that T_M is upper semi-Fredholm. The cases $i = 8$ and $i = 9$ can be proved similarly.

Suppose that T admits a GKRD and $0 \in \operatorname{acc}\rho_{\mathcal{W}_+}(T)$. Then there exists $(M,N) \in Red(T)$ such that T_M is Kato and T_N is Riesz. We show that $0 \in \operatorname{acc}\rho_{\mathcal{W}_+}(T_M)$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. From $0 \in \operatorname{acc}\rho_{\mathcal{W}_+}(T)$ it follows that there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $0 < |\lambda| < \epsilon$ and $T - \lambda \in \mathcal{W}_+(X)$. As T_N is Riesz, $T_N - \lambda$ is Fredholm of index zero, and so, according to Lema 2.1(iii), we conclude that $T_M - \lambda \in \mathcal{W}_+(M)$, that is $\lambda \in \rho_{\mathcal{W}_+}(T_M)$. Therefore, $0 \in \operatorname{acc}\rho_{\mathcal{W}_+}(T_M)$ and from Proposition 2.1 (iv) it follows that T_M is upper semi-Weyl, and so $T \in \mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}_+(X)$. The cases $i = 11$ and $i = 12$ can be proved similarly.

(i) $\Longrightarrow$ (iv): Suppose that there exists $(M,N) \in Red(T)$ such that $T_M \in \mathbf{R}_i$ and T_N is Riesz. Let $P \in L(X)$ be a projection such that $N(P) = M$ and $R(P) = N$. Then $TP = PT$ and since $TP = (TP)_M \oplus (TP)_N = 0 \oplus T_N$ and T_N is Riesz, from Lemma 2.2 it follows that TP is Riesz. Also from the fact that T_N is Riesz it follows that $\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T_N) \subset \{0\}$ and so, $(T+P)_N = T_N + I_N \in \mathbf{R}_i$, where I_N is identity on N. Since $(T+P)_M = T_M \in \mathbf{R}_i$, we have that $T + P \in \mathbf{R}_i$ by Lemma 2.1(i), (ii).

(iv) $\Longrightarrow$ (i): Suppose that there exists a projection $P \in L(X)$ that commutes with T such that $T + P \in \mathbf{R}_i$ and TP is Riesz. For $M = N(P)$ and $N = R(P)$ we have that $(M,N) \in Red(T)$ and $T_N = (TP)_N$ is Riesz. For $i \in \{7,8,9\}$ from Lemma 2.1(i) it follows that $T_M = (T+P)_M \in \mathbf{R}_i$. Suppose that $i \in \{10,11,12\}$. Since T_N is Riesz, it follows that $T_N + I_N$ is Weyl and so, from $T + P = (T+P)_M \oplus (T+P)_N = T_M \oplus (T_N + I_N)$, according to Lemma 2.1(iii), it follows that $T_M \in \mathbf{R}_i$. □

The following corollary is an improvement of Corollary 3.4 in [4].

Corollary 2.2. *Let $T \in L(X)$ and $7 \leq i \leq 12$. If $\lambda_0 - T$ admits a GKRD, then the following statements are equivalent:*
(i) λ_0 is not an interior point of $\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T)$;
(ii) $\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T)$ does not cluster at λ_0.

Proof. Follows from the equivalence (ii)$\Longleftrightarrow$(iii) in Theorems 2.6. □

Corollary 2.3. *Let $T \in L(X)$ and let $0 \in \partial\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T)$, $1 \leq i \leq 12$. Then T admits a generalized Kato-Riesz decomposition if and only if T belongs to $\mathbf{gDRR}_i(X)$.*

Proof. Follows from the equivalences (i)$\Longleftrightarrow$(ii) in Theorems 2.3, 2.4, 2.6 and the equivalence (i)$\Longleftrightarrow$(iii) in Theorem 2.6. □

Let $T \in L(X)$ be generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible operator T, that is, there exists $(M, N) \in Red(T)$ such that T_M is invertible and T_N is Riesz. For the operator T_N we shall say that it is a Riesz part of T.

It is well known that a Riesz operator with infinite spectrum has the property that the sequence of its Riesz points converges to 0. In the following proposition we show that a generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible operator T, which Riesz part T_N has infinite spectrum, has the same property: there exists a sequence of nonzero Riesz points of T which converges to 0. Moreover, it holds the converse: if T admits a GKRD and there exists a sequence of nonzero Riesz points of T which converges to 0, then T is generalized Drazin-Riesz operator which Riesz part has infinite spectrum.

Proposition 2.7. Let $T \in L(X)$. The following statements are equivalent:

(i) $T = T_M \oplus T_N$ where T_M is invertible and T_N is Riesz with infinite spectrum;
(ii) T admits a GKRD and there exists a sequence of nonzero Riesz points of T which converges to 0.

Proof. (i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii): Suppose that $T = T_M \oplus T_N$ where T_M is invertible and T_N is Riesz with infinite spectrum. Then T admits a GKRD(M, N) and $\sigma(T_N) = \{0, \mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots\}$ where μ_n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are nonzero Riesz points of T_N and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_n = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

According to Theorem 2.3 we have that $0 \notin \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(T)$, i.e. there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\mu \notin \sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(T)$ for $0 < |\mu| < \epsilon$. From (2.3) it follows that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 < |\mu_n| < \epsilon$ for $n \geq n_0$. Hence $\mu_n \in \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(T)$ for all $n \geq n_0$ and, since the set $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(T)$ is exactly the set of the Riesz points of T, we see that $(\mu_n)_{n=n_0}^{\infty}$ is the sequence of nonzero Riesz points of T which converges to 0.

(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i): Suppose that $T = T_M \oplus T_N$ where T_M is Kato, T_N is Riesz and let (λ_n) is the sequence of nonzero Riesz points of T such that $0 = \lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_n$. Since $\lambda_n \in \rho_{\mathcal{B}}(T)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that $0 \in \operatorname{acc}\rho_{\mathcal{B}}(T)$. As in the proof

of Theorem 2.3 we conclude that T_M is invertible. Thus there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $D(0,\epsilon) \subset \rho(T_M)$ and there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda_n \in D(0,\epsilon)$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Consequently, $\lambda_n \notin \sigma(T_M)$ for all $n \geq n_0$ and since $\lambda_n \in \sigma(T) = \sigma(T_M) \cup \sigma(T_N)$, it follows that $\lambda_n \in \sigma(T_N)$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Therefore, the spectrum of T_N is infinite. □

Corollary 2.4. *Let $T \in L(X)$ be generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible and let $0 \in \operatorname{acc}\sigma(T)$. Then there exists a sequence of nonzero Riesz points of T which converges to 0.*

Proof. According to Theorem 2.3 it follows that $T = T_M \oplus T_N$ with T_M invertible and T_N Riesz. Since $0 \in \operatorname{acc}\sigma(T)$, it follows that $0 \in \operatorname{acc}\sigma_N(T)$ and so, $\sigma_N(T)$ is infinite. Applying Proposition 2.7 we obtain that there exists a sequence of nonzero Riesz points of T which converges to 0. □

Theorem 2.8. *Let $T \in L(X)$ and let f be a complex analytic function in a neighborhood of $\sigma(T)$. If $T \in \mathbf{gDRR}_i(X)$ and $f^{-1}(0) \cap \sigma_{\mathbf{R_i}}(T) = \{0\}$, then $f(T) \in \mathbf{gDRR}_i(X)$, $1 \leq i \leq 12$.*

Proof. We shall prove the assertion for the case $i = 4$ and $i = 10$. Suppose that $T \in \mathbf{gDRR}_4(X)$. Then, according to Theorem 2.3, T is generalized Drazin-Riesz bounded below and there exists $(M,N) \in Red(T)$ such that T_M is bounded below and T_N is Riesz. The pair (M,N) completely reduces $(\lambda - T)^{-1}$ for every $\lambda \in \rho(T)$ and so, $f(T) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int\limits_{\gamma} f(\lambda)(\lambda - T)^{-1}d\lambda$, where γ is a contour surrounding $\sigma(T)$ and which lies in the domain of f, is also reduced by the pair (M,N), $f(T)_M = f(T_M)$ and $f(T)_N = f(T_N)$, and consequently $f(T) = f(T_M) \oplus f(T_N)$.

Suppose also that $f^{-1}(0) \cap \sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T) = \{0\}$. Using the fact that $0 \notin \sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T_M) \subset \sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T)$, we obtain $0 \notin f(\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T_M))$. According to the spectral mapping theorem it follows $0 \notin f(\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T_M)) = \sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(f(T_M))$ [13, Theorem 3.4], so $f(T_M)$ is upper semi-Browder. Since $f(0) = 0$, it follows that $f(T_N)$ is Riesz by [1, Theorem 3.113 (i)]. Consequently, $f(T) \in \mathbf{gDRR}_4(X)$, i.e. $f(T)$ is generalized Drazin-Riesz bounded below.

The cases for $i = 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9$ can be proved similarly.

Suppose that T is generalized Drazin-Riesz upper semi-Weyl and $f^{-1}(0) \cap \sigma_{\mathcal{W}_+}(T) = \{0\}$. Then there exists $(M,N) \in Red(T)$ such that T_M is upper semi-Weyl and T_N is Riesz. As above we conclude that $f(T) = f(T_M) \oplus f(T_N)$ and $f(T_N)$ is Riesz. From $0 \notin \sigma_{\mathcal{W}_+}(T_M) \subset \sigma_{\mathcal{W}_+}(T)$, we obtain $0 \notin f(\sigma_{\mathcal{W}_+}(T_M))$. Since $\sigma_{\mathcal{W}_+}(f(T_M)) \subset f(\sigma_{\mathcal{W}_+}(T_M))$ [13, Theorem 3.3], it follows that $0 \notin \sigma_{\mathcal{W}_+}(f(T_M))$, and so $f(T_M)$ is upper semi-Weyl. Consequently, $f(T)$ is generalized Drazin-Riesz upper semi-Weyl.

Similarly for $i = 11, 12$. □

The following corollary follows at once from Theorem 2.8.

Corollary 2.5. *Let $T \in L(X)$. If $T \in \mathbf{gDRR}_i(X)$, then $T^n \in \mathbf{gDRR}_i(X)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq i \leq 12$.*

Proposition 2.9. Let $T \in L(X)$ and let f be a complex analytic function in a neighborhood of $\sigma(T)$ such that $f^{-1}(0) \cap \operatorname{acc}\sigma(T) = \emptyset$. Then $f(T) = A + K$, where $A \in L(X)$ is generalized Dazin-Riesz Fredholm and $K \in L(X)$ is compact.

Proof. Since $\sigma(\pi(T)) \subset \sigma(T)$, f is analytic in a neighborhood of $\sigma(\pi(T))$ and we have $f(\pi(T)) = \pi(f(T))$. Then

$$\operatorname{acc}\sigma(\pi(f(T)) = \operatorname{acc}\sigma(f(\pi(T)) \subset f(\operatorname{acc}\sigma(\pi(T)) \subset f(\operatorname{acc}\sigma(T))$$

according to [5, Theorem 2]. By the assumption it follows $0 \notin \operatorname{acc}\sigma(\pi(f(T))$, i.e. $\pi(f(T))$ is generalized Drazin invertible. Now, we apply [3, Theorem 3.11], which completes the proof. □

Corollary 2.6. *Let $T \in L(X)$ have finite spectrum and let f be a complex analytic function in a neighborhood of $\sigma(T)$. Then $f(T) = A + K$, where $A \in L(X)$ is generalized Dazin-Riesz Fredholm and $K \in L(X)$ is compact.*

Proof. Since $\operatorname{acc}\sigma(T) = \emptyset$, so the condition $f^{-1}(0) \cap \operatorname{acc}\sigma(T) = \emptyset$ is automatically satisfied, and apply Proposition 2.9. □

Corollary 2.7. *Let $T \in L(X)$ be polynomially Riesz and let f be a complex analytic function in a neighborhood of $\sigma(T)$ such that $f^{-1}(0) \cap \pi_T^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$ where π_T is the minimal polynomial of T. Then $f(T) = A + K$, where $A \in L(X)$ is generalized Dazin-Riesz Fredholm and $K \in L(X)$ is compact.*

Proof. Notice that if $T \in L(X)$ is polynomially Riesz, then $\operatorname{acc}\sigma(T) \subset \sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(T) = \pi_T^{-1}(0)$, so $f^{-1}(0) \cap \operatorname{acc}\sigma(T) \subset f^{-1}(0) \cap \pi_T^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$ and we apply Proposition 2.9. □

3 Spectra

For $T \in L(X)$ we define the spectra with respect to the sets $\mathbf{gDRR}_i(X)$:

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{gDRR}_i}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \notin \mathbf{gDRR}_i(X)\}, \ \ 1 \leq i \leq 12.$$

Instead of $\sigma_{\mathbf{gDRL(X)^{-1}}}(T)$ we shall write simpler $\sigma_{gDR}(T)$. From Theorems 2.4, 2.5 and 2.3 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{M}}(T) &= \sigma_{gKR}(T) \cup \operatorname{int}\sigma_{ap}(T) \\ &= \sigma_{gKR}(T) \cup \operatorname{int}\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T) \\ &= \sigma_{gKR}(T) \cup \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T)), \\ &= \sigma_{gKR}(T) \cup \mathcal{S}(T), \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{Q}}(T) &= \sigma_{gKR}(T) \cup \operatorname{int}\sigma_{su}(T) \\ &= \sigma_{gKR}(T) \cup \operatorname{int}\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_-}(T) \\ &= \sigma_{gKR}(T) \cup \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_-}(T), \\ &= \sigma_{gKR}(T) \cup \mathcal{S}(T') \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{gDR}(T) &= \sigma_{gKR}(T) \cup \operatorname{int}\sigma(T) && (3.3)\\ &= \sigma_{gKR}(T) \cup \operatorname{int}\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(T)\\ &= \sigma_{gKR}(T) \cup \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(T) && (3.4)\\ &= \sigma_{gKR}(T) \cup \mathcal{S}(T) \cup \mathcal{S}(T').\end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 2.6 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{\mathbf{gDRR}_i}(T) &= \sigma_{gKR}(T) \cup \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T) && (3.5)\\ &= \sigma_{gKR}(T) \cup \operatorname{int}\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T),\ 7 \leq i \leq 12. && (3.6)\end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$\sigma_{gKR}(T) \subset \begin{array}{l}\sigma_{\mathbf{gDR\Phi}_+}(T) \subset \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}_+}(T) \subset \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{M}}(T) \subset \sigma_{ap}(T)\\ \\ \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR\Phi}_-}(T) \subset \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}_-}(T) \subset \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{Q}}(T) \subset \sigma_{su}(T),\end{array} \quad (3.7)$$

$$\sigma_{gKR}(T) \subset \begin{array}{l}\sigma_{\mathbf{gDR\Phi}_+}(T) \subset \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}_+}(T) \subset \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{M}}(T)\\ \\ \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR\Phi}_-}(T) \subset \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}_-}(T) \subset \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{Q}}(T)\end{array} \subset \sigma_{gDR}(T) \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\sigma_{gKR}(T) \subset \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR\Phi}}(T) \subset \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}}(T) \subset \sigma_{gDR}(T). \quad (3.9)$$

Remark 3.1. We remark that

$$\Phi_+(X) \setminus \mathcal{W}_+(X) \subset \mathbf{gDR\Phi}_+(X) \setminus \mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}_+(X), \quad (3.10)$$
$$\Phi_-(X) \setminus \mathcal{W}_-(X) \subset \mathbf{gDR\Phi}_-(X) \setminus \mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}_-(X), \quad (3.11)$$
$$\Phi(X) \setminus \mathcal{W}(X) \subset \mathbf{gDR\Phi}(X) \setminus \mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}(X). \quad (3.12)$$

Indeed, as the index is locally constant, the set $\Phi_+(X) \setminus \mathcal{W}_+(X) = \{T \in \Phi(X) : \operatorname{ind}(T) > 0\}$ is open, which implies that the set $\sigma_{\mathcal{W}_+}(T) \setminus \sigma_{\Phi_+}(T) = \rho_{\Phi_+}(T) \setminus \rho_{\mathcal{W}_+}(T)$ is open for every $T \in L(X)$. Suppose that $T \in \Phi_+(X) \setminus \mathcal{W}_+(X)$. Then $T \in \mathbf{gDR\Phi}_+(X)$ and $0 \in \sigma_{\mathcal{W}_+}(T) \setminus \sigma_{\Phi_+}(T)$. There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $D(0,\epsilon) \subset \sigma_{\mathcal{W}_+}(T) \setminus \sigma_{\Phi_+}(T)$. Hence, $0 \in \operatorname{int}\sigma_{\mathcal{W}_+}(T)$ and $T \notin \mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}_+(X)$ according to Theorem 2.6. Similarly for (3.11) and (3.12).

The following example shows that the inclusions $\sigma_{\mathbf{gDR\Phi}_+}(T) \subset \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}_+}(T)$, $\sigma_{\mathbf{gDR\Phi}_-}(T) \subset \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}_-}(T)$ and $\sigma_{\mathbf{gDR\Phi}}(T) \subset \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}}(T)$ can be proper.

Example 3.2. If X is one of $c_0(\mathbb{N}), c(\mathbb{N}), \ell_\infty(\mathbb{N}), \ell_p(\mathbb{N})$, $p \geq 1$, the forward and the backward unilateral shifts U and V on X are Fredholm, $\operatorname{ind}(U) =$

-1 and $\operatorname{ind}(V) = 1$. Therefore, $U \in \Phi_-(X) \setminus \mathcal{W}_-(X)$ and $V \in \Phi_+(X) \setminus \mathcal{W}_+(X)$, and also $U, V \in \Phi(X) \setminus \mathcal{W}(X)$. Hence, according to Remark 3.1, $U \in \mathbf{gDR\Phi}_-(X) \setminus \mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}_-(X)$, $V \in \mathbf{gDR\Phi}_+(X) \setminus \mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}_+(X)$ and $U, V \in \mathbf{gDR\Phi}(X) \setminus \mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}(X)$. This implies that $0 \in \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}_-}(U) \setminus \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR\Phi}_-}(U)$, $0 \in \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}_+}(V) \setminus \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR\Phi}_+}(V)$ and $0 \in \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}}(U) \setminus \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR\Phi}}(U)$.

The following example shows that the inclusions $\sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}_+}(T) \subset \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{M}}(T)$ and $\sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}_-}(T) \subset \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{Q}}(T)$ can be proper. Set $\mathbb{D} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| \leq 1\}$.

Example 3.3. If X is one of $c_0(\mathbb{N}), c(\mathbb{N}), \ell_\infty(\mathbb{N}), \ell_p(\mathbb{N})$, $p \geq 1$, let $T = U \oplus V$ where U and V are forward and the backward unilateral shifts, respectively. Then, according to Lemma 2.1(i), T is Fredholm and $\operatorname{ind}(T) = \operatorname{ind}(U) + \operatorname{ind}(V) = 0$. Thus T is Weyl and hence, T is generalized Drazin-Riesz Weyl. Since $\sigma_{ap}(U) = \sigma_{su}(V) = \partial\mathbb{D}$ and $\sigma_{su}(U) = \sigma_{ap}(V) = \mathbb{D}$, it follows that $\sigma_{ap}(T) = \sigma_{ap}(U) \cup \sigma_{ap}(V) = \mathbb{D}$ and $\sigma_{su}(T) = \sigma_{su}(U) \cup \sigma_{su}(V) = \mathbb{D}$. Therefore, $0 \in \operatorname{int}\sigma_{ap}(T)$ and $0 \in \operatorname{int}\sigma_{su}(T)$ and from Theorems 2.4 and 2.5 it follows that T is neither generalized Drazin-Riesz bounded below nor generalized Drazin-Riesz surjective and so, $0 \in \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{M}}(T) \setminus \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}_+}(T)$ and $0 \in \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{Q}}(T) \setminus \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}_-}(T)$.

We need the following result.

Proposition 3.4. ([11, p. 143]) Let $T \in L(X)$ and $(M, N) \in Red(T)$. Then T is essentially Kato if and only if T_M and T_N are essentially Kato.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $T \in L(X)$ and let T admits a GKRD(M, N). Then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $T - \lambda$ is essentially Kato for each λ such that $0 < |\lambda| < \epsilon$.*

Proof. If $M = \{0\}$, then T is Riesz and hence $T - \lambda$ is Fredholm for all $\lambda \neq 0$. From [12, Theorem 16.21] it follows that $T - \lambda$ is essentially Kato for all $\lambda \neq 0$.

Suppose that $M \neq \{0\}$. From [1, Theorem 1.31] it follows that $|\lambda| < \gamma(T_M)$, $T_M - \lambda$ is Kato. Since T_N is Riesz, then $T_N - \lambda$ is esentially Kato for all $\lambda \neq 0$. Let $\epsilon = \gamma(T_M)$. From Proposition 3.4 it follows that $T - \lambda$ is essentially Kato for each λ such that $0 < |\lambda| < \epsilon$. □

Corollary 3.1. *Let $T \in L(X)$. Then $\sigma_{gKR}(T)$ is compact and the sets $\sigma_{eK} \setminus \sigma_{gKR}(T)$, $\sigma_{Kt}(T) \setminus \sigma_{gKR}(T)$ and $\sigma_{gK}(T) \setminus \sigma_{gKR}(T)$ consist of at most countably many points.*

Proof. From Theorem 3.5 it follows that $\sigma_{gKR}(T)$ is closed and since $\sigma_{gKR}(T) \subset \sigma(T)$ it follows that $\sigma_{gKR}(T)$ is bounded. Thus, $\sigma_{gKR}(T)$ is compact.

Let $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_{eK}(T) \setminus \sigma_{gKR}(T)$. Then $T - \lambda_0$ admits a GKRD and according to Theorem 3.5 there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $T - \lambda$ is essentially Kato for each λ such that $0 < |\lambda - \lambda_0| < \epsilon$. This means that $\lambda_0 \in \operatorname{iso}\sigma_{eK}(T)$. Therefore $\sigma_{eK}(T) \setminus \sigma_{gKR}(T) \subset \operatorname{iso}\sigma_{eK}(T)$ and since $\sigma_{gK}(T) \subset \sigma_{Kt}(T) \subset \sigma_{eK}(T)$, it follows that $\sigma_{Kt}(T) \setminus \sigma_{gKR}(T) \subset \operatorname{iso}\sigma_{eK}(T)$ and $\sigma_{gK}(T) \setminus \sigma_{gKR}(T) \subset \operatorname{iso}\sigma_{eK}(T)$, which implies that $\sigma_{eK} \setminus \sigma_{gKR}(T)$, $\sigma_{Kt}(T) \setminus \sigma_{gKR}(T)$ and $\sigma_{gK}(T) \setminus \sigma_{gKR}(T)$ are at most countable. □

Proposition 3.6. For $T \in L(X)$ the following statements hold:
(i) $\sigma_{\mathbf{gDRR}_i}(T) \subset \sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T) \subset \sigma(T)$, $1 \leq i \leq 12$;
(ii) $\sigma_{\mathbf{gDRR}_i}(T)$ is a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$, $1 \leq i \leq 12$;
(iii) $\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T) \setminus \sigma_{\mathbf{gDRR}_i}(T)$ consists of at most countably many isolated points, $4 \leq i \leq 12$.

Proof. (i): It is obvious.
(ii): It suffices to prove that the complement of $\sigma_{\mathbf{gDRR}_i}(T)$ is open. If $\lambda_0 \notin \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{M}}(T)$, then $T - \lambda_0 \in \mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{M}(X)$ and by Theorem 2.4 there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $T - \lambda_0 - \lambda \in \mathcal{B}_+(X) \subset \mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{B}_+(X) = \mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{M}(X)$ for $0 < |\lambda| < \epsilon$. Thus, $T - \lambda_0 - \lambda \in \mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{M}(X)$ for each λ such that $|\lambda| < \epsilon$, that is $D(\lambda_0, \epsilon) \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{M}}(T)$. Therefore, $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{M}}(T)$ is open. For the other cases we apply similar consideration.
(iii): If $\lambda \in \sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T) \setminus \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{B}_+}(T)$, then $\lambda \in \sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T)$ and $T - \lambda \in \mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{B}_+(X)$. From Theorem 2.4 we obtain that $\lambda \in \operatorname{iso} \sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T)$ and thus, $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T) \setminus \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{B}_+}(T)$ consists of at most countably many isolated points. Similarly for the other cases when $5 \leq i \leq 12$. □

Corollary 3.2. *Let $T \in L(X)$ and $i \in \{1, \ldots, 12\}$. If T is an operator for which*

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T) = \partial \sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T), \tag{3.13}$$

then

$$\sigma_{gKR}(T) = \sigma_{\mathbf{gDRR}_i}(T). \tag{3.14}$$

In particular, if

$$\sigma(T) = \partial \sigma(T), \tag{3.15}$$

then

$$\sigma_{gKR}(T) = \sigma_{gDR}(T). \tag{3.16}$$

Proof. From (3.13) it follows that $\operatorname{int}\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T) = \emptyset$ and so, according to (3.1), (3.2), (3.3), (3.6), we get $\sigma_{\mathbf{gDRR}_i}(T) = \sigma_{gKR}(T)$. □

From Corollary 3.2 it follows that if $\sigma(T)$ is most countable or contained in a line, then $\sigma_{gKR}(T) = \sigma_{gDR}(T)$. Every self-adjoint, as well as, unitary operator on Hilbert space have the spectrum contained in a line. The spectrum of a polynomially Riesz operator [14] or polynomially meromorphic operator [8] is most countable.

Obviously, $\sigma_{gKR}(T) \subset \sigma_{gK}(T)$ and the following corollary is an improvement of [4, Corollary 4.2, (iv), (v), (vi)].

Corollary 3.3. *For $T \in L(X)$ the following inclusions hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{int} \sigma_{ap}(T) \setminus \operatorname{int} \sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T) &\subset \sigma_{gKR}(T), \\ \operatorname{int} \sigma_{su}(T) \setminus \operatorname{int} \sigma_{\mathcal{B}_-}(T) &\subset \sigma_{gKR}(T), \\ \operatorname{int} \sigma(T) \setminus \operatorname{int} \sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(T) &\subset \sigma_{gKR}(T). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Follows from the equivalences (ii) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (vi) in Theorems 2.4 and 2.5, and the equivalence (ii) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (ix) in Theorem 2.3. □

Corollary 3.4. *Let $T \in L(X)$ have the SVEP. Then all accumulation points of $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T)$ belong to $\sigma_{gKR}(T)$.*

Proof. Follows from the equivalence (iii)$\Longleftrightarrow$(v) of Theorem 2.4. □

Corollary 3.5. *Suppose that for $T \in L(X)$, T' has the SVEP. Then all accumulation points of $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_-}(T)$ belong to $\sigma_{gKR}(T)$.*

Proof. Follows from the equivalence (iii)$\Longleftrightarrow$(v) of Theorem 2.5. □

Corollary 3.6. *Suppose that both T and T' have the SVEP. Then all accumulation points of $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(T)$ belong to $\sigma_{gKR}(T)$.*

Proof. Follows from the equivalence (iii)$\Longleftrightarrow$(viii) of Theorem 2.3. □

Corollary 3.7. *Let T be unilateral weighted right shift operator on $\ell_p(\mathbb{N})$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, with weight (ω_n), and let $c(T) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \inf(\omega_1 \cdot \cdots \cdot \omega_n)^{1/n} = 0$. Then $\sigma_{gKR}(T) = \sigma_{gDR\mathbf{R}_i}(T) = \sigma(T) = \overline{D(0, r(T))}$.*

Proof. According to [1, Corollary 3.118] it follows that $\sigma_{ap}(T) = \sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T) = \sigma(T) = \overline{D(0, r(T))}$ and T has the SVEP. Since every $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ is an accumulation point of $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T)$, according to Corollary 3.4 it follows that $\sigma_{gKR}(T) = \sigma_{\mathbf{gDRR}_i}(T) = \sigma(T) = \overline{D(0, r(T))}$. □

Theorem 3.7. *Let $T \in L(X)$ and $4 \leq i \leq 12$. Then the following implication holds:*

$$0 \in \partial\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T) \ \wedge \ T \text{ admits a GKRD} \Longrightarrow 0 \in \operatorname{iso}\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T). \tag{3.17}$$

Moreover,

$$0 \in \partial\sigma_{ap}(T) \ \wedge \ T \text{ admits a GKRD} \implies 0 \notin \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T); \tag{3.18}$$

$$0 \in \partial\sigma_{su}(T) \ \wedge \ T \text{ admits a GKRD} \implies 0 \notin \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_-}(T); \tag{3.19}$$

$$0 \in \partial\sigma(T) \ \wedge \ T \text{ admits a GKRD} \implies 0 \notin \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(T). \tag{3.20}$$

Proof. Let $0 \in \partial\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T)$ and let T admit a GKRD. Then $0 \in \sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T)$ and $0 \notin \operatorname{int}\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T)$. From the equivalence (v)$\Longleftrightarrow$(vi) in Theorem 2.4, it follows that $0 \notin \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T)$. Since $0 \in \sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T)$, it means that $0 \in \operatorname{iso}\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T)$. The remaining cases ($5 \leq i \leq 12$) can be proved in a similar way.

Let $0 \in \partial\sigma_{ap}(T)$ and let T admit a GKRD. Then $0 \in \sigma_{ap}(T)$ and $0 \notin \operatorname{int}\sigma_{ap}(T)$. From the equivalence (ii)$\Longleftrightarrow$(v) in Theorem 2.4, it follows that $0 \notin \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T)$. Similarly for (3.19) and (3.20). □

Theorem 3.8. *Let $T \in L(X)$. Then the following inclusions hold:*

$$\partial\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T) \cap \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T) \subset \sigma_{gKR}(T), \quad 4 \leq i \leq 12. \tag{3.21}$$

Moreover,

$$\partial\sigma_{ap}(T) \cap \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T) \subset \sigma_{gKR}(T); \tag{3.22}$$

$$\partial\sigma_{su}(T) \cap \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_-}(T) \subset \sigma_{gKR}(T); \tag{3.23}$$

$$\partial\sigma(T) \cap \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(T) \subset \sigma_{gKR}(T). \tag{3.24}$$

Proof. Follows from Theorem 3.7. □

We remark that (3.21) is an improvement of [4, Theorem 4.5 (4.3)] for the case that $i \in \{4, \ldots, 12\}$.

It follows an example of a operator which does not admit a GKRD.

Example 3.9. Let X be an infinite dimensional Banach space and let $\tilde{X} = \oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} X_i$ where $X_i = X$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $A = \oplus_{n=1}^{\infty}(\frac{1}{n}I)$ where I is identity on X. Then $A \in L(\tilde{X})$ and $\sigma(A) = \{0\} \cup \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. The operator $A - (1/n)$ has finite ascent and descent and hence $1/n$ is a pole of the resolvent of A, but $\alpha(A-(1/n)) = \beta(A-(1/n)) = +\infty$ and so, $1/n$ is a pole of the infinite algebraic multiplicity and $1/n$ belongs to the Fredholm spectrum of A. Consequently, A is meromorphic and Fredholm spectrum of A is equal to the spectrum of A. Therefore, $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(A) = \sigma_{\mathcal{W}}(A) = \sigma_{\Phi}(A) = \sigma(A)$. From (3.24) it follows that $\{0\} = \partial\sigma(A) \cap \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(A) \subset \sigma_{gKR}(A)$. Since $\sigma_{gKR}(A) \subset \sigma_{gK}(A) \subset \sigma_{gD}(A) = \operatorname{acc}\sigma(A) = \{0\}$, we get $\sigma_{gKR}(A) = \{0\}$ and hence, A does not admit a GKRD. Also, we remark that $\sigma_{\Phi_+}(A) = \sigma_{\Phi_-}(A) = \sigma_{\mathcal{W}_+}(A) = \sigma_{\mathcal{W}_-}(A) = \sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(A) = \sigma_{\mathcal{B}_-}(A) = \sigma_{ap}(A) = \sigma_{su}(A) = \sigma(A)$ and $0 \notin \operatorname{int}\sigma(T)$. This means that for $T \in L(X)$ the condition that $0 \notin \operatorname{int}\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T)$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, 12\}$ is not sufficient for T to admit a GKRD. Therefore, the condition that the operator admits a GKRD in the statements (ii) and (ix) of Theorem 2.3, as well as in the statements (ii) and (vi) of Theorems 2.4 and 2.5, and also, in the statements (iii) of Theorem 2.6, can not be ommited.

The *connected hull* of a compact subset K of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, denoted by ηK, is the complement of the unbounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ [6, Definition 7.10.1]. Given a compact subset K of the plane, a hole of K is a bounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$, and so a hole of K is a component of $\eta K \setminus K$.

We recall that, for compact subsets $H, K \subset \mathbb{C}$, the following implication holds ([6, Theorem 7.10.3]):

$$\partial H \subset K \subset H \Longrightarrow \partial H \subset \partial K \subset K \subset H \subset \eta K = \eta H \ . \tag{3.25}$$

Evidently, if $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is finite, then $\eta K = K$. Therefore, for compact subsets $H, K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, if $\eta K = \eta H$, then H is finite if and only if K is finite, and in that case $H = K$.

Theorem 3.10. *Let $T \in L(X)$. Then*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \partial\sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{M}}(T) & \subset & \partial\sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}_+}(T) & \subset & \partial\sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathbf{\Phi}_+}(T) \\ & \subset & & & & & \subset \\ \partial\sigma_{gDR}(T) & \subset & & \partial\sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}}(T) & \subset & \partial\sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathbf{\Phi}}(T) & \subset \quad \partial\sigma_{gKR}(T) \\ & \subset & & & & & \subset \\ & & \partial\sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{Q}}(T) & \subset & \partial\sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}_-}(T) & \subset & \partial\sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathbf{\Phi}_-}(T) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathbf{\Phi}}(T) \subset \partial\sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathbf{\Phi}_+}(T), \quad \partial\sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathbf{\Phi}}(T) \subset \partial\sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathbf{\Phi}_-}(T), \\ \partial\sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}}(T) \subset \partial\sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}_+}(T), \quad \partial\sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}}(T) \subset \partial\sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}_-}(T), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \eta\sigma_{gKR}(T) &= \eta\sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathbf{\Phi}_+}(T) = \eta\sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}_+}(T) = \eta\sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{M}}(T) \\ &= \eta\sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathbf{\Phi}_-}(T) = \eta\sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}_-}(T) = \eta\sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{Q}}(T) \\ &= \eta\sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathbf{\Phi}}(T) = \eta\sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}}(T) = \eta\sigma_{gDR}(T). \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

Proof. According to (3.25) and the inclusions (3.8) and (3.9) it is sufficient to prove the inclusions

$$\partial\sigma_{\mathbf{gDRR_i}}(T) \subset \sigma_{gKR}(T), \ i \in \{1,2,3,7,8,9,10,11,12\}.$$

Suppose that $\lambda_0 \in \partial\sigma_{\mathbf{gDRR_i}}(T)$. Since $\sigma_{\mathbf{gDRR_i}}(T)$ is closed, it follows that

$$\lambda_0 \in \sigma_{\mathbf{gDRR_i}}(T) = \sigma_{gKR}(T) \cup \operatorname{int}\sigma_{\mathbf{R_i}}(T). \tag{3.27}$$

We shall prove that

$$\lambda_0 \notin \operatorname{int}\sigma_{\mathbf{R_i}}(T). \tag{3.28}$$

Suppose that $\lambda_0 \in \operatorname{int}\sigma_{\mathbf{R_i}}(T)$. Then there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $D(\lambda_0, \epsilon) \subset \sigma_{\mathbf{R_i}}(T)$. This implies that $D(\lambda_0, \epsilon) \subset \operatorname{int}\sigma_{\mathbf{R_i}}(T)$ and hence, $D(\lambda_0, \epsilon) \subset \sigma_{\mathbf{gDRR_i}}(T)$, which contradicts to the fact that $\lambda_0 \in \partial\sigma_{\mathbf{gDRR_i}}(T)$. Now from (3.27) and (3.28), it follows that $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_{gKR}(T)$. □

From (3.26) it follows that $\sigma_{gKR}(T)$ is finite if and only if $\sigma_{\mathbf{gDRR}_i}(T)$ is finite for arbitrary $i \in \{1, \ldots, 12\}$, and in that case $\sigma_{gKR}(T) = \sigma_{\mathbf{gDRR}_i}(T)$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, 12\}$. Also, from (3.26) it follows that $\sigma_{gKR}(T) = \emptyset$ if and only if $\sigma_{\mathbf{gDRR}_i}(T) = \emptyset$ where i is one of $1, \ldots, 12$. Moreover, the following theorem holds:

Theorem 3.11. *Let $T \in L(X)$. The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $\sigma_{gKR}(T) = \emptyset$;

(ii) $\sigma_{gDR}(T) = \emptyset$;

(iii) *T is polynomially Riesz;*

(iv) $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(T)$ *is a finite set;*

(v) $\theta(T)$ *is a finite set, where θ is one of σ_{eK}, σ_{Φ_+}, σ_{Φ_-}, σ_{Φ}, $\sigma_{\mathcal{W}_+}$, $\sigma_{\mathcal{W}_-}$, $\sigma_{\mathcal{W}}$, $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}$, $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_-}$.*

Proof. The equivalence (i)$\Longleftrightarrow$(ii) follows from (3.26).

The equivalences (iii)$\Longleftrightarrow$(iv)$\Longleftrightarrow$(v) have been proved in [14].

(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iv): Suppose that $\sigma_{gDR}(T) = \emptyset$. From (3.4) it follows that $\operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(T) = \emptyset$ and so, $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(T)$ is a finite set.

(iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii): Let T be polynomially Riesz and $\pi_T^{-1}(0) = \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n\}$. According to [14, Theorem 2.2] we have that $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(T) = \pi_T^{-1}(0)$ where π_T is the minimal polynomial of T. It implies that $T - \lambda$ is Browder and hence, generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible for every $\lambda \notin \pi_T^{-1}(0)$. According to [14, Theorem 2.13], X is decomposed into the direct sum $X = X_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_n$ where X_i is closed T-invariant subspace of X, $T = T_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_n$ where T_i is the reduction of T on X_i and $T_i - \lambda_i$ is Riesz, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Since $T_i - \lambda_i$ is Riesz, it follows that $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(T_i - \lambda_i) \subset \{0\}$ and hence, $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(T_i) \subset \{\lambda_i\}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. It implies that $T_i - \lambda_j$ is Browder for $i \neq j$, $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

The following argument shows that $X_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_n$ is closed. Consider Banach space $X_1 \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n$ provided with the canonical norm $\|(x_1, \ldots, x_n)\| = \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|$, $x_i \in X_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then the map $f : X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n \to X_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_n = X$ defined by $f((x_1, \ldots, x_n)) = x_1 + \cdots + x_n$, $x_i \in X_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, is a homeomorphism and since $\{0\} \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n$ is closed in $X_1 \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n$, it follows that $f(\{0\} \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n) = \{0\} \oplus X_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_n = X_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_n$ is closed.

Consider the decomposition

$$T - \lambda_1 = (T_1 - \lambda_1) \oplus (T_2 - \lambda_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (T_n - \lambda_1).$$

Since $(X_1, X_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_n) \in \mathit{Red}(T)$, $(T - \lambda_1)_{X_1} = T_1 - \lambda_1$ is Riesz, and since $(T - \lambda_1)_{X_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_n} = (T_2 - \lambda_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (T_n - \lambda_1)$ is Browder as a direct sum of Browder operators $T_2 - \lambda_1, \ldots, T_n - \lambda_1$ (Lema 2.1 (i)), it follows that $T - \lambda_1$ is generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible. In that way we can prove that $T - \lambda_i$ is generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Consequently, $T - \lambda$ is generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and hence, $\sigma_{gDR}(T) = \emptyset$. □

The inclusion $\sigma_{gKR}(T) \subset \sigma_{gK}(T)$ can be proper as it can be seen on the example of a Riesz operator T with infinite spectrum. Namely, according to Theorem 3.11 it follows that $\sigma_{gKR}(T) = \emptyset$, while $\sigma_{gK}(T) = \{0\}$. Moreover, if T is polynomially Riesz with infinite spectrum, then $\sigma_{gKR}(T) = \emptyset$, while from [4, Corollary 4.11] we have that $\sigma_{gK}(T) = \sigma_{gD}(T) = \operatorname{acc}\sigma(T) \neq \emptyset$.

The generalized Drazin-Riesz resolvent set of $T \in L(X)$ is defined by $\rho_{gKR}(T) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_{gKR}(T)$.

Corollary 3.8. *Let $T \in L(X)$ and let $\rho_{gKR}(T)$ has only one component. Then*

$$\sigma_{gKR}(T) = \sigma_{gDR}(T).$$

Proof. Since $\rho_{gKR}(T)$ has only one component, it follows that $\sigma_{gKR}(T)$ has no holes, and so $\sigma_{gKR}(T) = \eta\sigma_{gKR}(T)$. From (3.26) it follows that $\sigma_{gDR}(T) \supset \sigma_{gKR}(T) = \eta\sigma_{gKR}(T) = \eta\sigma_{gDR}(T) \supset \sigma_{gDR}(T)$ and hence, $\sigma_{gDR}(T) = \sigma_{gKR}(T)$. □

Theorem 3.12. *Let $T \in L(X)$ and $4 \leq i \leq 12$. If*

$$\partial\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T) \subset \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T), \tag{3.29}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T) &\subset \sigma_{gKR}(T) \subset \sigma_{gK}(T) \subset \sigma_{Kt}(T) \subset \sigma_{eK}(T) \subset \sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T), && (3.30)\\ \partial\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T) &\subset \sigma_{gKR}(T) \subset \sigma_{gK}(T) \subset \sigma_{\mathbf{gDRR}_i}(T) \subset \sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T) && (3.31) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\eta\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T) = \eta\sigma_{gKR}(T) = \eta\sigma_{gK}(T) = \eta\sigma_{Kt}(T) = \eta\sigma_{eK}(T) = \eta\sigma_{\mathbf{gDRR}_i}(T). \tag{3.32}$$

If $1 \leq i \leq 3$, then

$$\partial\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T) \subset \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_{i+3}}(T) \tag{3.33}$$

implies

$$\partial\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T) \subset \sigma_{gKR}(T) \subset \sigma_{gK}(T) \subset \sigma_{Kt}(T) \subset \sigma_{eK}(T) \subset \sigma_K(T) \subset \sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T) \tag{3.34}$$

and

$$\eta\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T) = \eta\sigma_{gKR}(T) = \eta\sigma_{gK}(T) = \eta\sigma_{Kt}(T) = \eta\sigma_{eK}(T) = \eta\sigma_K(T) = \eta\sigma_{\mathbf{gDRR}_i}(T).$$

Proof. From $\partial\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T) \subset \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T)$ it follows that $\partial\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T) \cap \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T) = \partial\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T)$, and so from (3.21) it follows that $\partial\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T) \subset \sigma_{gKR}(T)$. (3.32) follows from (3.30), (3.31) and (3.25).

For $1 \leq i \leq 3$, remark that $\sigma_K(T) \subset \sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T)$ and we can proceed analogously as above. □

The Goldberg spectrum of $T \in L(X)$ is defined by

$$\sigma_{ec}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : R(T-\lambda) \text{ is not closed}\}.$$

Obviously, $\sigma_{ec}(T) \subset \sigma_{R_i}(T)$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, 12\}$.

Theorem 3.13. *Let $T \in L(X)$ and $4 \leq i \leq 12$. If*

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T) = \partial\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T) = \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T),$$

then

$$\sigma_{ec}(T) \subset \sigma_{gKR}(T) = \sigma_{gK}(T) = \sigma_{Kt}(T) = \sigma_{eK}(T) = \sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T) = \sigma_{\mathbf{gDRR}_i}(T).$$

For $1 \leq i \leq 3$,

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T) = \partial\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T) \subset \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathbf{R}_{i+3}}(T),$$

implies

$$\sigma_{ec}(T) \subset \sigma_{gKR}(T) = \sigma_{gK}(T) = \sigma_{Kt}(T) = \sigma_{eK}(T) = \sigma_K(T) = \sigma_{\mathbf{R}_i}(T) = \sigma_{\mathbf{gDRR}_i}(T).$$

Proof. Suppose that $\sigma_{ap}(T) = \partial\sigma_{ap}(T)$ and that every $\lambda \in \sigma_{ap}(T)$ is an accumulation point of $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T)$. From Theorem 3.12 it follows that

$$\sigma_{ap}(T) = \partial\sigma_{ap}(T) \subset \sigma_{gKR}(T) \subset \sigma_{gK}(T) \subset \sigma_{Kt}(T) \subset \sigma_{eK}(T) \subset \sigma_K(T) \subset \sigma_{ap}(T),$$
$$\sigma_{ap}(T) = \partial\sigma_{ap}(T) \subset \sigma_{gKR}(T) \subset \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{M}}(T) \subset \sigma_{ap}(T),$$

and so $\sigma_{ec}(T) \subset \sigma_{ap}(T) = \sigma_{gKR}(T) = \sigma_{gK}(T) = \sigma_{Kt}(T) = \sigma_{eK}(T) = \sigma_K(T) = \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{M}}(T)$.

The other cases ($i = 2, \ldots, 12$) can be proved similarly. □

We remark that if $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ is compact, then for $\lambda \in \partial K$ there is equivalence:

$$\lambda \in \operatorname{acc} K \Longleftrightarrow \lambda \in \operatorname{acc} \partial K. \tag{3.35}$$

Theorem 3.14. *Let $T \in L(X)$ be an operator for which $\sigma_{ap}(T) = \partial\sigma(T)$ and every $\lambda \in \partial\sigma(T)$ is not isolated in $\sigma(T)$. Then $\sigma_{ec}(T) \subset \sigma_{ap}(T) = \sigma_{gKR}(T) = \sigma_{gK}(T) = \sigma_{Kt}(T) = \sigma_{eK}(T) = \sigma_K(T) = \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{M}}(T)$.*

Proof. From $\sigma_{ap}(T) = \partial\sigma(T)$, since $\partial\sigma(T) \subset \partial\sigma_{ap}(T) \subset \sigma_{ap}(T)$ it follows that $\sigma_{ap}(T) = \partial\sigma_{ap}(T)$, while from (3.35) it follows that every $\lambda \in \partial\sigma(T)$ is not isolated in $\partial\sigma(T)$. Therefore, every $\lambda \in \partial\sigma(T)$ is not isolated in $\sigma_{ap}(T)$ and hence, $\sigma_{ap}(T) \subset \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{ap}(T)$. Since $\operatorname{acc}\sigma_{ap}(T) \subset \sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T) \subset \sigma_{ap}(T)$ [12, Corollary 20.20], we get that $\sigma_{ap}(T) = \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{ap}(T) = \sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T)$. Hence $\operatorname{acc}\sigma_{\mathcal{B}_+}(T) = \operatorname{acc}\sigma_{ap}(T) = \sigma_{ap}(T) = \partial\sigma_{ap}(T)$. Now from Theorem 3.13 we get $\sigma_{ec}(T) \subset \sigma_{ap}(T) = \sigma_{gKR}(T) = \sigma_{gK}(T) = \sigma_{Kt}(T) = \sigma_{eK}(T) = \sigma_K(T) = \sigma_{gDR\mathcal{M}}(T)$. □

Theorem 3.15. *Let $T \in L(X)$ be an operator for which $\sigma_{su}(T) = \partial\sigma(T)$ and every $\lambda \in \partial\sigma(T)$ is not isolated in $\sigma(T)$. Then $\sigma_{ec}(T) \subset \sigma_{su}(T) = \sigma_{gKR}(T) = \sigma_{gK}(T) = \sigma_{Kt}(T) = \sigma_{eK}(T) = \sigma_K(T) = \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{Q}}(T)$.*

Proof. Follows from the inclusions $\partial\sigma(T) \subset \partial\sigma_{su}(T) \subset \sigma_{su}(T)$, (3.35) and Theorem 3.13, analogously to the proof of Theorem 3.14. □

Example 3.16. If X is one of $c_0(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\ell_p(\mathbb{Z})$, $p \geq 1$, then for the forward and backward bilateral shifts $W_1,\ W_2 \in L(X)$ there are equalities

$$\sigma_{ap}(W_1) = \sigma_{su}(W_1) = \sigma(W_1) = \partial\mathbb{D}, \tag{3.36}$$
$$\sigma_{ap}(W_2) = \sigma_{su}(W_2) = \sigma(W_2) = \partial\mathbb{D}. \tag{3.37}$$

For every $i = 1, \ldots, 12$, from Theorem 3.13 (or Theorems 3.14 and 3.15), (3.36), (3.37) and (3.7) it follows that

$$\sigma_{gKR}(W_1) = \sigma_{\mathbf{gDRR}_i}(W_1) = \sigma_{gDR}(W_1) = \sigma(W_1) = \partial\mathbb{D},$$
$$\sigma_{gKR}(W_2) = \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}_i}(W_2) = \sigma_{gDR}(W_2) = \sigma(W_2) = \partial\mathbb{D}.$$

It follows also from Corollary 3.2 and the inclusions in (3.8).

Example 3.17. For each $X \in \{c_0(\mathbb{N}), c(\mathbb{N}), \ell_\infty(\mathbb{N}), \ell_p(\mathbb{N})\}$, $p \geq 1$, and the forward and backward unilateral shifts $U, V \in L(X)$ there are equalities $\sigma(U) = \sigma(V) = \mathbb{D}$, $\sigma_{ap}(U) = \sigma_{su}(V) = \partial\mathbb{D}$, and hence, $\sigma_{ap}(U) = \partial\sigma(U) \subset \operatorname{acc}\sigma(U)$ and $\sigma_{su}(V) = \partial\sigma(V) \subset \operatorname{acc}\sigma(V)$. From Theorems 3.14 and 3.15, and (3.7) we get $\sigma_{gKR}(U) = \sigma_{gK}(U) = \sigma_K(U) = \sigma_{ap}(U) = \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{M}}(U) = \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}_+}(U) = \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathbf{\Phi}_+}(U) = \partial\mathbb{D}$ and $\sigma_{gKR}(V) = \sigma_{gK}(V) = \sigma_K(V) = \sigma_{su}(V) = \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{Q}}(V) = \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}_-}(V) = \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathbf{\Phi}_-}(V) = \partial\mathbb{D}$.

Remark that the forward unilateral shift U is non-invertible isometry. In [2], p. 187, it is noticed that every non-invertible isometry T has the property that $\sigma(T) = \overline{D(0, r(T))}$ and $\sigma_{ap}(T) = \partial D(0, r(T))$, and hence $\sigma_{ap}(T) = \partial\sigma(T)$ and every $\lambda \in \partial\sigma(T)$ is not isolated in $\sigma(T)$. Therefore, according to Theorem 3.14, for arbitrary non-invertible isometry T we get that $\sigma_{gKR}(T) = \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathbf{\Phi}_+}(T) = \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{W}_+}(T) = \sigma_{\mathbf{gDR}\mathcal{M}}(T) = \sigma_{ap}(T) = \partial D(0, r(T))$.

Example 3.18. For the *Cesáro operator* C_p defined on the classical Hardy space $H_p(\mathbf{D})$, $\mathbf{D}$ the open unit disc and $1 < p < \infty$, by

$$(C_p f)(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda}\int_0^\lambda \frac{f(\mu)}{1-\mu}\,d\mu, \text{ for all } f \in H_p(\mathbf{D}) \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathbf{D},$$

it is known that its spectrum is the closed disc Γ_p centered at $p/2$ with radius $p/2$ and $\sigma_{Kt}(C_p) = \sigma_{ap}(C_p) = \partial\Gamma_p$ [10], [2]. According to Theorem 3.14 we get that $\sigma_{gKR}(C_p) = \sigma_{gK}(C_p) = \sigma_{ap}(C_p) = \partial\Gamma_p$.

References

[1] P. Aiena, *Fredholm and local spectral theory, with applications to multipliers*, Kluwer Academic Publishers (2004).

[2] P. Aiena, E. Rosas, *Single-valued extension property at the points of the approximate point spectrum*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 279 (2003), 180-188.

[3] E. Boasso, *Isolated spectral points and Koliha-Drazin invertible elements in quotient Banach algebras and homomorphism ranges*, Math. Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. 115A (2) (2015), 121-135.

[4] M.D. Cvetković and S. Č. Živković-Zlatanović, *Generalized Kato decomposition and essential spectra*, https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.07880.

[5] R. Harte, *Fredholm theory relative to a Banach algebra homomorphism*, Math. Z. 179 (1982), 431-436.

[6] R.E. Harte, *Invertibility and Singularity for Bounded Linear Operators*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York/Basel, 1988.

[7] Q. Jiang, H. Zhong, *Generalized Kato decomposition, single-valued extension property and approximate point spectrum*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 356 (2009), 322-327.

[8] M. A. Kaashoek, M. R. F. Smyth, *On operators T such that $f(T)$ is Riesz or meromorphic*, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A 72 (1972), 81-87.

[9] J. J. Koliha, *A generalized Drazin inverse*, Glasgow Math. J. 38 (1996), 367-381.

[10] T. L. Miller, V. G. Miller, R. C. Smith, *Bishop's property (β) and Cesáro operator*, J. London Math. Soc. (2)58 (1998) 197-207.

[11] V. Müller, M. Mbekhta, *On the axiomatic theory of spectrum II*, Studia Math. 119(2) (1996), 129-147.

[12] V. Müller, *Spectral theory of linear operators and spectral systems in Banach algebras*, Birkhäuser (2007).

[13] V. Rakočević, *Approximate point spectrum and commuting comact perturbations*, Glasgow Math. J., **28**(1986), 193-198.

[14] S. Č. Živković-Zlatanović, D. S. Djordjević, R. E. Harte, B. P. Duggal, *On polynomially Riesz operators*, Filomat 28:1 (2014), 197-205.

Snežana Č. Živković-Zlatanović
University of Niš
Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics
P.O. Box 224, 18000 Niš, Serbia
E-mail: mladvlad@mts.rs

Miloš D. Cvetković
University of Niš
Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics
P.O. Box 224, 18000 Niš, Serbia
E-mail: miloscvetkovic83@gmail.com